

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/035,423	01/04/2002	Amnon Ribak	RIBAK2	2434	
1444 7590 08/10/2005 EXAMINER				INER	
BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.			LIN, KE	LIN, KELVIN Y	
624 NINTH S	TREET, NW		<del></del>		
SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2142		
			DATE MAILED, 09/10/200	DATE MAILED, 09/10/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/035,423	RIBAK ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
The MAN INC DATE of this communication	Kelvin Lin	2142	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the (	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  /s will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
<ul> <li>1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Ms</li> <li>2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ This</li> <li>3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the practice of the</li></ul>	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims		·	
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-58 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-58 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). pjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  S. Patent and Trademark Office	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		

Art Unit: 2142

#### **Detailed Action**

#### Response to Arguments

Application's argue with respect to claims 1-58 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### **Response to Amended Claims**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- Claims 1-3, 11-58 are rejected under 35 USC 102(e) as being anticipated by Bates et al., (US Patent No. 6789107).
- 3. Regarding claim 1, Bates teaches a method for providing information regarding a piece of electronic mail (e-mail), comprising:
  - processing a data set containing transmission data associated with

Art Unit: 2142

the e-mail so as to determine one or more steps in a propagation history of the e-mail, the transmission data including identifiers of a sender of the e-mail and of one or more recipients of at least a portion of the e-mail (Bates, col.1, l.33-45, col.3, l.55-65, in which display a map view .. and a plurality or chain message replay corresponds to propagation history of E-mail).

- displaying the propagation history (Bates, col.3, l.57-63).
- 4. Regarding claim 2, Bates further discloses a method according to claim 1, wherein processing the data set comprises analyzing transmission information embedded in text of the e-mail (Bates, col.6, l.16-28).
- 5. Regarding claim 3, Bates further discloses a method according to claim 1, wherein processing the data set comprises analyzing transmission information not contained in text of the e-mail (Bates, col.5, I.29-37)
- 6. Regarding claim 11, Bates further discloses a method according to claim 1, wherein processing the data set comprises determining two or more steps in the propagation history of the e-mail (Bates, col.1, I.47-57), the transmission data including for each step in the propagation history identifiers of a sender and one or more recipients of a respective portion of the piece of e-mail, wherein the method comprises:
  - receiving from a user a designation of an electronic mail
     correspondent (Bates, col.4, I.28-35, );
  - finding at least one identifier in the transmission data corresponding

Art Unit: 2142

to the designated correspondent (Bates, col.4, l.43-50);; and

- displaying part of the piece of e-mail responsive to finding the at least one identifier (Bates, col. 4, I.51-54).
- 7. Regarding claim 12, Bates further discloses a method according to claim 11, wherein displaying part of the piece of e-mail comprises displaying e-mail content sent by the correspondent (Bates, col.4, I.50-58).
- 8. Regarding claim 13, Bates further discloses a method according to claim 11, wherein displaying part of the piece of e-mail comprises displaying e-mail content sent to the correspondent (Bates, col.5, I.8-12).
- Regarding claim 14, Bates further discloses a method according to claim 11,
   and comprising:
  - determining a location of the correspondent in a hierarchy (Bate, col. 4, I.50-56, col.5, I.57-67, in which "the map view is order by date, user id, and important message" corresponds to hierarchy, in addition, the "particular position" corresponds to the location in the map).
  - displaying the hierarchy (Bates, col.4, l.50-57); and
  - identifying for the user the location of the correspondent in the hierarchy (Bates, col.6, I.43-49).
- 10. Regarding claim 15, Bates further discloses a method according to claim 1, and comprising receiving information regarding a relationship relating members in a set, which set includes at least some of: the sender and the one or more

Application/Control Number: 10/035,423

Art Unit: 2142

recipients, wherein displaying the propagation history comprises displaying the propagation history responsive to the relationship (Bates, col.6, I.29-38, the mark of importance corresponds to the relationship of the member in the message).

- 11. Regarding claim 16, Bates further discloses a method according to claim 15, wherein receiving the information comprises receiving geographical information about the members (Bates, col. 5, I.57-67, the particular position of the mail message has been identified corresponds to the geographical information).
- 12. Regarding claim 17, Bates further discloses a method according to claim 15, wherein receiving the information comprises receiving hierarchical and geographical information about the members (Bates, col.6, l.57-67, in which the mark of importance and the particular important section corresponds to the information of hierarchical and geographical information).
- 13. Regarding claim 18, Bates further discloses a method according to claim 15, wherein receiving the information comprises receiving hierarchical information about the members (Bates, col. 6, I.60-67, in which the importance of section corresponds to the member of the message ).
- 14. Regarding claim 19, Bates further discloses a method according to claim 18, wherein receiving hierarchical information comprises receiving, for each one of a plurality of the members, information indicating: (a) who reports to that member and (b) to whom does that member report (Bates, col.4, l.20-22, the previous send, forward, or replied among different users corresponds to the who reports and whom to be reported).

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/035,423

Art Unit: 2142

- 15. Regarding claim 20, Bates further discloses a method according to claim 18, wherein displaying the propagation history comprises: displaying a hierarchy including the members in the set; and displaying the propagation history with respect to the hierarchy (Bates, col. 3, I.60-67).
- 16. Regarding claim 21, Bates further discloses a method according to claim 20, wherein displaying the propagation history with respect to the hierarchy comprises superimposing a representation of the propagation history on the hierarchy (Bates, col. 4, I.55-58).
- 17. Claims 22 is rejected under 35 USC 102(e) as being anticipated by Raghunandan H., (US Patent No. 6832244).
- 18. Regarding claim 22, Raghunandan teaches a method for providing information regarding a piece of electronic mail (e-mail), comprising:
  - scanning the e-mail so as to identify a sender or recipient of at least a portion of the e-mail (Raghunandan, col.7, l.48-51);
  - displaying a hierarchy (Raghunandan, col.7, l.9-12); and
  - indicating on the hierarchy a location of the sender or recipient in the hierarchy (Raghunandan, col.7, I.66-67, col.8, I.1-55, in which the displayed image is in the form of buttons that shows the level of hierarchy indicated on the button with the alpha-numeric hierarchy, user can search the email sender by clicking the button that corresponds to the location in the hierarchy).

Art Unit: 2142

19. Regarding claims 23-35 have similar limitations as claims 1-10, and 14-16. Therefore, claims 23-35 are rejected for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claims 1-10, and 14-16.

20. Regarding claim 36 has similar limitations as claim 22.
Therefore, claim 36 is rejected for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claim 22.

21. Regarding claims 37-57 have similar limitations as claims 1-21.

Therefore, claims 37-57 are rejected for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claims 1-21.

22. Regarding claim 58 has similar limitations as claim 22.

Therefore, claim 58 is rejected for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claim 22.

Art Unit: 2142

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 23. Claims 4-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bates in view of Raghunandan H., (US Patent No. 6832244).
- 24. Regarding claims 4-7, Bates differs from the claimed invention in that it fails to teach the designating a first visual and color symbols for the primary, a second or other visual and color symbols for the secondary recipients.

  However, Raghunandan teaches the output symbol can be reduces or increased, as desired, depending on the email so that as many symbol as possible can be viewed on the screen at the same time. Also, the graphical display can be used to show the emails in a order and priorities sequence based on the content indicative of hierarchy from the user's perspective, for example, the sample parameter can be configured as Mails from John using Red and the Icon (symbol) is smiley. It also lists another parameter with different color and symbols. (Raghunandan, col.7, l.5-35).
- 25. Claims 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Bates, and in view of Raghunandan, and further in view of Pulley et al., (PG PUB No. 2002/0087679).

Regarding claims 8-10, Bates and Raghunandan teach all the limitation of claims 8-10 except the animation and graphical movement of the recipient.

However, Pulley clearly teaches the visualization metaphor including an animation comprise a path line, which is similar to the movement arrow indicates the moving direction. Also, Pulley further discloses the displaying of email event including things sending email, and receiving email. And the scan event includes things like tracing a route to find a path to the visitor, and the like.

(Pulley, col. 8, I.1-14, col.9, 1-13)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the display symbol and color of Bates as modified by the teaching of Raghunandan, the motivation would be the symbol and color provide a very complex and powerful capability for analyzing and displaying of nature of email. In addition, the animation and graphical movement of Bates as modified by the teaching of Pulley will be effectively improved.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kelvin Lin whose telephone number is 571-272-3898. The examiner can normally be reached on Flexible 4/9/5.

Art Unit: 2142

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Caldwell can be reached on 571-272-3868. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KYL 8/01/2005

> KAMINI SHAH PRIMARY EXAMINER